

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2002) KLR VOL 2 PART 134 pp. 311 - 482**

**FEBRUARY 2002**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher**

**C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### ***FEBRUARY CONTD.***

7. Nsirim v. Nsirim p. 311
8. Nziwu v. Onuorah p. 331
9. Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337
10. Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corporation p. 367
11. Onwunama v. Ezeokoli p. 387
12. Saliba v. Yassin p. 401
13. Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Development Corporation p. 419
14. Thor Ltd v. First City Merchant Bank Ltd p. 447
15. Yahaya v. State p. 467

**ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 2 KLR**

ACTIONS - Institution - Limitation - By s. 110 Ports Act - Appellant must file suit within 12 months next after default - And must give one month prior notice to respondent (H10) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

ACTIONS - Limitation period - Denial of - *Odubeko v. Fowler* - Where plaintiff denies the limitation period - Defendant must prove his averment by evidence (H12) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

ACTIONS - Public policy - Consideration of - Court should consider whether to allow a claim will be contrary to public policy - Or a denial of same will amount to injustice (H8) *Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp* p. 367

ACTIONS - Torts - Detinue - Proof - To succeed in an action for detinue - Plaintiff must establish wrongful detention of his chattel by defendant (H4) *Saliba v. Yassin* p. 401

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court does not interfere - Save where there is violation of principles of law - Or that such findings are perverse (H7) *Nsirim v. Nsirim* p. 311

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court will not interfere - Since there are no special circumstances warranting interference (H3) *Nziwu v. Onuorah* p. 331

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court will not interfere - Since lower courts rightly made findings - On traditional evidence of parties (H7) *Onigbede v. Balogun* p. 337

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court will not interfere - Since the findings are not perverse - And has not led to miscarriage of justice (H3) *Onwunama v. Ezeokoli* p. 387

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Supreme Court will not interfere - Except if such findings are perverse - Or there was a substantial error (H3) *Saliba v. Yassin* p. 401

APPEALS - Determination - Basis - Appeals are decided upon issues raised - And not on grounds of appeal - As grounds are deemed extinguished and replaced by issues (H1) *Saliba v. Yassin* p. 401

APPEALS - Findings of trial court - Interference - Justification - Appellate court will interfere - Where trial court failed to resolve conflicts in parties' evidence (H2) *Nziwu v. Onuorah* p. 331

APPEALS - Grounds - Mixed law and fact - Since defendant failed to obtain leave - The grounds are incompetent and liable to be struck out (H3) *Thor Ltd v. First City Merchant Bank Ltd* p. 447

APPEALS - Grounds - Nature - How determined - Ground is of law where it reveals misunderstanding of law - And is of mixed law and fact - Where it questions resolution of conflicting evidence (H2) *Thor Ltd v. First City Merchant Bank Ltd* p. 447

APPEALS - Issues - Raised from incompetent grounds - Fate - Such issues are inconsequential - And should be struck out (H4) *Thor Ltd v. First City Merchant Bank Ltd* p. 447

APPEALS - Records of Appeal - Binding nature - Parties and courts are bound by such records - Which are presumed correct unless contrary is proved (H14) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

APPEALS - Records of Appeal - Reference to - Correctness of - Appellate court is fully entitled to look at record of appeal - In consideration of any matter before it (H15) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

APPEALS - Right of appeal - 1999 Constitution s. 233(2)(3) - Appeals may be as of right where grounds are of law - Or with leave of court where grounds are of mixed fact and law or of facts (H1) *Thor Ltd v. First City Merchant Bank Ltd* p. 447

COMPANY LAW - Actions - Right of action - CAMA s. 425 - Liquidation

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 2 KLR**

tor in a winding up by court - Shall have power to bring or defend - Action on behalf of a company (H10) Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp. p. 367

CONTRACTS - Appeals - Misconception - Effect - Where an appellate court misconceives appellant's case - Its decision can hardly be right (H5) Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp. p. 367

CONTRACTS - Breach - Establishment - To establish breach of contract - Appellant need not prove entering into contract with a third party (H6) Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp. p. 367

CONTRACTS - Claim - Validity of - Claim under a lawful contract will not fail - By reason of illegality of another contract - If plaintiff can establish his case - Without reference to the illegal contract (H4) Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp. p. 367

CONTRACTS - Conditional contract - Enforcement - Action cannot be maintained to recover expenses - Incurred during negotiation of such contract (H6) Saliba v. Yassin p. 401

CONTRACTS - Defence of illegality - Who can raise - Stranger to illegal transaction will not be allowed - To raise defence of illegality in such a transaction (H7) Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp. p. 367

COURTS - Findings of fact - Binding nature of - Unless special circumstances exist - No appellate court should substitute its own findings - For those of trial court (H1) Nziwu v. Onuorah p. 331

COURTS - Findings of facts - Where trial judge makes proper findings - Court of Appeal should not interfere - Save if the findings are perverse (H6) Nsirim v. Nsirim p. 311

COURTS - Native Courts - Proceedings of the courts - Are to be considered liberally - To determine whether substantial justice has been done to parties - Within the permitted procedure (H1) Onwunama v. Ezeokoli p. 387

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Validity - CPL s. 215 - Non compliance with the section in criminal trial - Renders such trial null and void ab initio (H1) Yahaya v. State p. 467

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Long incarceration - Retrial order - Propriety - Order of fresh trial is not oppressive - As charge is for capital offence (H6) Yahaya v. State p. 467

CUSTOMARY LAW - Customs - Proof - Such law being an issue of fact - Places onus of proof on a party - Who alleges its existence (H5) Nsirim v. Nsirim p. 311

CUSTOMARY LAW - Proof - Such law and customs must be proved - Except where court has taken judicial notice of them (H4) Nsirim v. Nsirim p. 311

DAMAGES - Judgments - Correctness of - Since appellant raised issue of quantum of damages - Rather than his liability - Judgments arising therefrom are not perverse (H2) Saliba v. Yassin p. 401

ESTOPPEL - By conduct - Principle - Where party induces another to believe the existence of a thing - Such party is precluded from averring against the other - An existence of a different state of things (H8) Nsirim v. Nsirim p. 311

EVIDENCE - Pleadings - Contradictions in - Effect - Where evidence of party is at variance with his pleadings - His claim will fail (H2) Nsirim v. Nsirim p. 311

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation of - Proof - For the allegation to succeed - Defendants must prove real likelihood of bias (H11) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

FAIR HEARING - Breach - Allegation of - The complaint is unmeritorious - As Court of Appeal properly considered arguments of parties - And evidence before its conclusion (H8) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

FAIR HEARING - Courts - Bias - Issue of bias cannot be raised - Simply because Akintan JCA had participated in earlier case between the parties - On a different issue (H10) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

JUDGMENTS - Appeals - Misdirection - Effect - Since trial judge thoroughly evaluated evidence - Any misdirection by Court of Appeal - Will not affect judgment of trial court (H6) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

JUDGMENTS - Slip - Effect - Court of Appeal's reference to defendants as trespassers - Is a slip which has not occasioned miscarriage of justice (H2) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Authorities - Distinction - Anosike v. Igbeke - Since facts in the case law are different from that of present case - The same cannot be applied in this case (H9) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

JUDICIAL PRECEDENTS - Authorities - Distinction - Tobby v. The State - Present case is different from the case law - Because evidence abound upon which - Accused can be convicted (H2) Yahaya v. State p. 467

LAND LAW - Courts - Findings of fact - Findings made by trial judge - And affirmed by Court of Appeal - Have not been shown to be perverse (H4) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

LAND LAW - Family land - Failure to prove partition by plaintiff - Will not be fatal - As other branches of the family - Are not contesting his claim (H1) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

LAND LAW - Title - Evidence of possession - Is one of the ways of asserting title - And is independent of claim on traditional history (H2) Onwunama v. Ezeokoli p. 387

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Burden on defendant - Since defendants claimed joint ownership of the land with plaintiffs - The burden of

proving same has shifted to defendants (H5) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Plaintiff must succeed on strength of his case - And not on weakness of defence - Except where defendant's case supports that of plaintiff (H1) Nsirim v. Nsirim p. 311

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Plaintiff must succeed on strength of his case - And not on weakness of defence - Otherwise the case is liable to be dismissed (H4) Onwunama v. Ezeokoli p. 387

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Standard of - Plaintiff is to prove its case on preponderance of evidence - And not beyond reasonable doubt (H5) Onwunama v. Ezeokoli p. 387

LAND LAW - Visit to locus in quo - The visit is irrelevant - Since both parties filed identical plans - And there was no invitation to the judge - To conduct same (H3) Onigbede v. Balogun p. 337

ORDERS OF COURT - Appeals - Retrial - Principles - To order retrial - There must be inter alia an error in law or irregularity in procedure - And that evidence discloses substantial case against appellant (H3) Yahaya v. State p. 467

ORDERS OF COURT - Retrial - Basis - Abodundu v. The Queen - Factors for making the order was set out in the case law - Hence the case law cited by appellant are merely persuasive (H5) Yahaya v. State p. 467

ORDERS OF COURT - Retrial - Propriety - Where trial was void ab initio - Proper order to make is to order for proper trial to take place - And not a retrial (H4) Yahaya v. State p. 467

PETROLEUM LAW - Bonny oil terminal - Establishment - The terminal was established with approval of Federal Government - Pursuant to Petroleum Act ss.2 and 4 (H7) Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp p. 419

viii ***INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2002) 2 KLR***

PLEADINGS - Binding nature of - Effect - Parties are bound by their pleadings - And evidence which is at variance with pleadings - Goes to no issue - And should be disregarded by court (H3) *Nsirim v. Nsirim* p. 311

PLEADINGS - Binding nature of - Parties are bound by their pleadings - As such a party cannot without amendment - Depart from his pleadings (H5) *Saliba v. Yassin* p. 401

PLEADINGS - Binding nature of - Plaintiff is bound by his plea of the actual date of damage - Hence there is no need for further proof thereof (H13) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

PLEADINGS - Defence of illegality - Proof - Defendant must state facts of the illegality he relies on - And the statute that has been contravened (H2) *Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp.* p. 367

PLEADINGS - Statute of limitation - Need to plea - Whenever a statute is relied on as a bar to an action - Same should be specially pleaded (H1) *Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp.* p. 367

STATUTES - Incorporation - Ports Act s. 110 (1) - Once provision of one statute is incorporated into another - Provisions so incorporated must apply *mutatis mutandis* - As a whole and not in part (H11) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

STATUTES - Interpretation - Exchange Control (Anti-Sabotage) Act - The mischief which the Act was aimed at - Is not included in circumstances of this case (H9) *Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp.* p. 367

STATUTES - Interpretation - Golden rule - Where literal interpretation may result in ambiguity or injustice - Court may seek internal or external aid in its interpretation (H4) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419



STATUTES - Interpretation - Principle - Where words of a statute are clear and unambiguous - Court has duty to interpret same strictly - Without resorting to intrinsic or external aid (H3) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

STATUTES - Oil Terminal Dues Act s. 1(1) - Intendment - The act is passed for levying and payment of terminal dues on ship evacuating oil - And it does not distinguish between public or private terminal (H1) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

STATUTES - Oil Terminal Dues Act s. 3 - Application - Scope of - The section makes certain provisions of Ports Act applicable wholly or partly - To all oil terminals (H2) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

STATUTES - Oil Terminal Dues Acts s. 3 - Incorporation of Ports Act - The section incorporates Part XIV of Ports Act - Dealing with legal proceedings (H9) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

STATUTES - Petroleum law - Oil Terminal Dues Act s.7 (2) - Intendment - The subsection is required in order to identify - Actual oil terminal concerned (H8) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

STATUTES - Prohibition - Exchange Control Act s. 1 - The prohibition is not absolute - As absence of permission is a fact - Which must be alleged (H3) *Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp.* p. 367

STATUTES - Words & phrases - “Any oil terminal” - Meaning - Oil Terminal Dues Act s.3 - The phrase applies to oil terminals in Nigeria - And not to the whole world (H6) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

STATUTES - Words & phrases - “Subject to the provisions of this Act” - Meaning - The phrase is expression of limitation which connotes -

That later provision of an Act - Supersedes provision in the section concerned (H5) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Companies & Allied Matters Act, LFN, 1990, ss. 417 & 425(1)(a)  
*Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp.* p. 367

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 233(2)(3) *Thor Ltd v. First City Merchant Bank Ltd* p. 447

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1979, s. 33 (6)(a)  
*Yahaya v. State* p. 467

Criminal Code Law, Cap. 29 Laws of Ogun State 1978, s. 319(1)  
*Yahaya v. State* p. 467

Criminal Procedure Law, Cap. 30 Laws of Ogun State, 1978, s. 215  
*Yahaya v. State* p. 467

Exchange Control (Anti-Sabotage) Act Cap 114, LFN, 1990, ss. 1(1)(a)(i)(v),(e) *Onwuchekwa v. Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corp.* p. 367

Federal High Court Rules, O. 22 *Onigbede v. Balogun* p. 337

High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure) Rules 1972, O. 10 rr. 1 and 2 *Thor Ltd v. First City Merchant Bank Ltd* p. 447

Magistrate's Courts Law (Amendment) Edict No. 18 of 1974 s. 3(2)  
*Onwunama v. Ezeokoli* p. 387

National Assembly (Basic Constitutional and Transitional Provisions)  
Decree No. 5 1999 s. 26 *Onigbede v. Balogun* p. 337

Oil Terminal Dues Act Cap. 339, LFN 1990, ss. 1(1), 3, 7(1), 7(2)  
*Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

Petroleum Act Cap 350 LFN 1990, ss. 2 & 4 *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

Ports Act Cap. 35 LFN 1990, ss.110 (1) part XIV, 110(2) *Texaco Panama Inc. v. Shell Petroleum Dev. Corp* p. 419

Supreme Court Rules 1985, O. 6 r. 2(1) *Thor Ltd v. First City Merchant Bank Ltd* p. 447